

SoM-CAM interlock test on September 18th

Shu Zhang, M. Sapinski PSI, September 24th, 2025

Goals



- First verification of interlock implementation based on 'leaky bucket' algorithm with beam.
- Register some real beam loss profiles with post-mortem data acquisition.

Method

2

- Place MRI9B ionization (read out by SoM-CAM) next to MRI9 (read out by LogCAM).

 Assumption: they give similar signals.
- Use interlock algorithm with the same parameters on both channels. Not done, need input from Pierre-Andre.
- Trigger the interlock by running RRL1B scan at beam current of 500 uA (or less).




Results



CH-PM-DAQWF-I-0_11h-21m-24s

CH-PM-DAQWF-I-0_11h-27m-23s



In both cases the LogCAM interlock was triggered later. This is because we did not have the real parameters for the algorithm. We have to discuss it with Pierre-Andre.

Conclusions




Short test, not fully conclusive (lack of direct comparison between LogCAM and

SoM-CAM channels) but nevertheless interesting.

Next steps

- Catch more temporal profiles of beam losses
- Comparison test with LogCAM equivalent parameters



Paul Scherrer Institute PSI 16.07.25