



UFO quench test

M. Sapinski
T. Baer
D. Valuch
A. Priebe

UFO-timescale quench test preparation - preliminary results

Mariusz Sapinski for BLM team,
Daniel Valuch and Wolfgang Hofle for ADT,
Tobias Baer - the beam expert,
Markus Zerlauth - MPP piquet,
Agnieszka Priebe for preparation

CERN

LSWG October 26, 2012




MD2 result

UFO quench test

M. Sapinski
T. Baer
D. Valuch
A. Priebe

After MD2 (2012.06.22) our fastest loss looked like that:



ADT at magic 400%




Original idea for the MD: give the beam an initial kick

UFO quench test

M. Sapinski
T. Baer
D. Valuch
A. Priebe



4TeV test on 13.10.2012 05:56:11



RMS arc position risetime: 7.6 µm/turn

October, 17th 2012

Tobias Baer

4

It helped a little, but ADT anyway works in saturation...



The trick that did the job

UFO quench test

M. Sapinski
T. Baer
D. Valuch
A. Priebe

then Daniel scratched his head and came out with another trick...
(from Evian 2011 paper by Wolfgang and Daniel)



*Normalized ADT kick voltage for 625ns bunch spacing configuration is about **a factor 3 larger** than for 50ns bunch spacing.*




Figure 2: Available kick strength as a function of the bunch spacing. Red trace bunch train, blue trace normalized kick voltage. From left to right 1250-625-150-50-25 ns scenario.




Oscillation risetime

UFO quench test

M. Sapinski
T. Baer
D. Valuch
A. Priebe



4TeV test on 13.10.2012 06:30:31 (625ns bunch spacing ADT mode):



RMS arc position risetime: **21.0 $\mu\text{m/turn}$**

October, 17th 2012

Tobias Baer

1

Oscillations rise 3 times faster!



And we got...

UFO quench test

M. Sapinski
T. Baer
D. Valuch
A. Priebe

