

Work Package 7, task 7.3: Operational modes, beam transport and instrumentation

M. Sapinski, HITRIplus WP7 meeting, 2021.11.19



Deliverables

• D7.2: Report on operational modes, beam transport and instrumentation.

- March 2024

 D7.4: Design of an optimised synchrotron with SC magnets and advanced features: high beam intensity, fast and slow extraction, multiple ion operation, optimised linac injector, <u>optimised instrumentation</u> and QA procedures:

- July 2024

 It seems that we have plenty of time but the old wisdom says:







Operational modes – To be developed



Requirements to be considered:

- Different use cases

 → beams for treatment vs. beams for research vs. beams for accelerator operation (e.g. machine development, commissioning) and QA
- Fast beam switching within the order of seconds
 → change in parameters, extraction mode and in destination
- Different control logics
 - \rightarrow User controlled beams vs. Operator controlled beams
 - → Beam Delivery System controlled beams vs. Experiment controlled
 - \rightarrow Mixed scenarios
- Safety for patients under treatment:

 → validated beams only
 → sequence of beams and beam parameters in accordance to the treatment plan
- Safety under all scenarios !



To be continued ...





Beam instrumentation (I)

The following has been done for

the second DLR contract :

- Survey of existing solutions (HIT, MedAustron, CNAO).
- 2. Adaptation to SEEIIST layout (numbers and preliminary location of devices).
- Adaptation to FLASH therapy proposal to use high dynamic range instruments in HEBT (the same device for slow and fast extractions).
- Preliminary considerations for additional instrumentations to allow FLASH treatment based on better knowledge of machine state.

"Update on Accelerator Design resulting from new tasks 2.4 – 2.5 (March 2021)

Deliverable no. 2.3

As of: 31.07.2021

 ^a 2. Accelerator Design: beam instrumentation requirements for the SEEIIST facility

2.1 Introduction

Beam instrumentation of an accelerator facility is a system of sensors which allows to measure various properties of the particle beam in numerous locations along the beam path. It is necessary in commissioning phase, for finding and correcting settings errors and faulty equipment as well as in daily operation to control and tune beam parameters.

(because feedback will not be fast enough to provide patient safety)





Table 2.3: Summary of diagnostic devices in various carbon therapy centers and comparison with the proposed devices for SEEIST. The measured quantities are: \underline{h}_{μ} beam current, $x - beam position, \sigma - beam width, Q$ $synchrotron tune, <math>\Omega^{-}$ chromaticity.

Beam instrumentation (II)

Potential remaining subjects:

- Check usability of continuous synchrotron emittance monitoring in the facility (MEE with RF-KO, assuring machine state before FLASH pulses).
- Optimize scintillating screen design for normal and FLASH beam rates.
- 3. Other detectors for patient safety, e.g. dldt?
- 4. Ideas...?

Part of complex	Device type	Measured quantity	Use case	Number of devices		
				ніт	MedA	SEEIIST
Beam inten	sity		1			
Injector	Faraday cup	l _b	CO, QA	7	7	6
	DCT	Ib	CO, OP	4	0	3
	ACT	Ib	CO, OP	1	1	1
MEBT	Faraday cup	Ib	со	2	4	2
	ACT	Ib	CO, OP	2	2	2
Synch	DCT	Ib	CO, OP	1	1	1
	ACT	Ib	CO, OP	1	1	1
HEBT	IC/SEM	Ib	CO, QA	11	0	15
	ACT	I _b	OP	0	0	2
Beam posit	ion and size					
Beam posit Injector	ion and size Wire grid	χ, σ	со	8	1	10
Beam posit	ion and size Wire grid Wire scanner	Χ, σ Χ, σ	CO CO	8	1	10 1
Beam posit	Wire grid Wire scanner Slits	x, σ x, σ	CO CO CO	8 0 6	1 11 5	10 1 6
Beam posit	Wire grid Wire grid Wire scanner Slits pickups	x, σ x, σ σ x	CO CO CO OP	8 0 6 1	1 11 5 1	10 1 6 1
Beam posit	Wire grid Wire scanner Slits pickups pickups	x, σ x, σ σ x x	СО СО СО ОР СО, ОР	8 0 6 1 3	1 11 5 1 1	10 1 6 1 3
Beam posit	Wire grid Wire scanner Slits pickups Wire grid	x, σ x, σ σ x x x σ, x	СО СО СО ОР СО, ОР СО	8 0 6 1 3 4	1 11 5 1 1 7	10 1 6 1 3 4
Beam posit	Wire grid Wire scanner Slits pickups pickups Wire grid Slits	x, σ x, σ σ x x x σ, x σ, x	CO CO CO OP CO, OP CO CO	8 0 6 1 3 4 2	1 11 5 1 1 7 3	10 1 6 1 3 4 2
Beam posit Injector MEBT	Wire grid Wire scanner Slits pickups pickups Wire grid Slits pickups	x, σ x, σ α x x α, x α x, Q, Q'	CO CO CO OP CO, OP CO CO CO, OP	8 0 6 1 3 4 2 12	1 11 5 1 1 7 3 20	10 1 6 1 3 4 2 20
Beam posit Injector MEBT Synch	Wire grid Wire grid Wire scanner Slits pickups pickups Wire grid Slits pickups IPM	x, σ x, σ σ x x x x, α, α x, α, α, α	CO CO CO OP CO, OP CO CO CO, OP CO, OP	8 0 1 3 4 2 12 0	1 11 5 1 1 7 3 20 0	10 1 6 1 3 4 2 20 20 2





20 18



Transfer beam lines design (

We have done conceptual work in 2020,

but documented only general layout.

Main concepts:

 Large, configurable experimental area well separated from medical area.



- 2. Three treatment rooms: H+V, H, gantry. Possibility of extension (e.g. second gantry).
- Spacious treatment rooms (9.5 meter width, HIT only 5.5 meters) for additional medical diagnostic devices.
- 4. Use of 22.5° dipoles, similar to the PIMMS synchrotron dipoles.
- 5. Horizontal beam size regulation by matching Twiss β_H and phase advance μ_H of the bar of charge and not only μ_H (PIMMS approach, which needs more quadrupoles).
- 6. Dispersion-free main transport line.





Transfer beam lines design (II)

Done in frame of HITRIplus:

- 1. Survey of concepts in HIT, MIT, CNAO and MedAustron (PIMMS).
- 2. Tuning our design, correcting errors.
- 3. Coach IAEA fellow (M. Manojlovic) from Montenegro on the beam line design.
- 4. Documenting and writing a report (ready in early 2022).





Dispersion suppressor:

- 1. First segment after extraction, brings beam dispersion (D_X and D'_X) to 0.
- 2. Not strictly necessary (e.g. not in HIT), but very helpful, especially if the beam branches to left and right downstream.
- 3. Zero-dispersion after extraction helps to transport the beam and allows for better beam measurements (so better understanding).
- Proposed configuration features also large space (>6 m) for chopper.







Beam chopper

- 1. Allows for fast (200 μ s) beam abort critical for patient safety.
- 2. This maybe not fast enough for FLASH (however, assuming 50 ms for FLASH it maybe only 0.4% of total dose)
- 3. Chopper based on double chicane and double dump could make beam abort faster by cutting the beam simultaneously on both sides (there is enough space).
- 4. The beam size in the chopper area is about 1-2 mm (vertical)
- <u>Vertical chopper</u> is preferable as beam size is not affected by dispersion and the same for fast and slow extractions.







Beam chopper (II)

- Even faster beam abort could be done using small thickness 1. of the bar of charge; in this case chopper must be horizontal.
- Dispersion should be 0 and phase advance wrt. 2. Electrostatic Septum should be close to $\pi/2 + n\pi$.
- 3. This chopper needs more space because the dispersion must be closed upstream and phase advance condition must be matched.
- Sub-mm beam size is reachable. 4
- unfilled ellipse For fast extraction the dumps 5. must be retracted (larger beam size).









Double-bend achromat

- 1. To bend the beam and close dispersion a double-bend achromat is used.
- 2. Here a configuration with two quads in the middle is shown; it helps to simplify operation and optics calculations, but it is not necessary: space for optimization.



Optics should be fixed until end of DBA; final beam spot size regulated in the last (focusing) segment with 4 quadrupoles.





Transfer beam lines – optics and layout







final focus for extreme cases: • 2 mm beam spot for 60 MeV protons – this is sometimes difficult! Relax specification? • 10 mm beam spot for Carbon at 430 MeV/u





Transfer beam lines – what could still be done

- 1. Detailed location of steerers and instrumentation.
- Reconsider treatment room width (maybe 7.5 meters is enough – mini version).
- 3. Redesign for helium facility (micro version).
- 4. Design Middle Energy Beam Transfer (MEBT).
- 5. Study different DBA concepts (e.g. single central quad)





Conclusions

- 1. Work on operational modes still has to be done (Peter will coordinate).
- 2. Large part of work on beam instrumentation has been done and it is not very clear what should be added (more ideas are welcome).
- 3. Report on HEBT concept should be publishable (as NIMMS note), early 2022.
- 4. Additional works for transfer lines: MEBT, mini-HEBT, helium-HEBT, optimizations...
- I have 10 working days left on the project until end of April 2022 and I will follow the above points. I hope I will be able to follow, to some degree, also after April.
- Another person who could follow beam lines and instrumentation is needed.
- We should have enough material for report in March 2024.









