



The LHC Beam Loss Monitoring system



Mariusz Sapinski (BE/BI/BL) for BLM team PH Detector Seminar CERN, June 10th, 2011





LHC beam

76 MJ/beam

wikipedia.org: 1 MJ is approximately the kinetic energy of a one-tonne vehicle moving at 160 km/h



Final energy stored per beam: 362 MJ but energy in the magnets: 10.4 GJ ... (A380 at 700 km/h)





- 1. Motivation, machine protection
- 2. Non-LHC system example
- 3. LHC system specification
- 4. Reliability
- 5. Detector technology choice
- 6. Electronics
- 7. Data definition and flow
- 8. Typical loss locations
- 9. Loss examples (injection losses, UFOs)
- 10. Beam-abort thresholds
- 11. Limitations and developments







December 5, 2003, 1.5 MJ beam lost on the aperture in TeVatron, causing massive quenches and damage of the vacuum chamber – 2 weeks to repair.



The LHC Beam Loss Monitoring system -PH Detector Seminar, 2011.06.10



Machine protection



Protection scheme against beam losses in superconducting magnets.



+ numerous systems protecting against causes of the beam losses...





BLM systems have 2 functions: protection and diagnostics.

Beam losses are regular (controlled, slow) and irregular (uncontrolled).

Irregular losses can be produced by:

- Obstacles and falling objects (UFOs)
- Orbit changes (for instance due to magnet current error)
- Wrong setting of collimators
- Wrong tune
- Beam processes (luminosity, instabilities)
- Vacuum problems

```
• ...
```

Irregular losses may result in:

- Quenching superconducting magnet
- Unnecessary activation of accelerator elements and environment
- Single Event Upsets
- Damage of vacuum chamber





BLM systems measures number of lost beam particles in a given location and in a given time interval.

One can try to mount BLM inside the vacuum chamber but for many reasons typically they are mounted outside: they measure particle shower.

Loss can be measured also by subtracting the signal of two beam current monitors – limited position resolution.

The most important BLM system parameters:

- Sensitivity
- Dynamic range
- Temporal resolution
- Spatial resolution
- Reliability
- Radiation hardness
- Availability
- Physical size



Non-LHC system example (I)



For instance: SLAC (cable) long ionization chamber



- •Cheap, simple, covering 3 km of accelerator
- Isolation not too rad-hard
- •Leakage current 0.1 pA/m
- •Sensitivity 20 µC/Gy/m
 - (LHC chamber 53 μ C/Gy)
- •Used for 20 years
- •Position resolution 1.5 m



Fig. 1: Installation of LIC at the ELBE beamline





SLAC (cable) long ionization chamber – position resolution



 \approx 15 m (\approx 50 ns) upstream end (6 km travel)





Main document dates 2002-2004 (based on previous studies).

Key parameters:

- Sensitivity: 5% of quench level
- Dynamic range: about $10^5\,\text{for}$ signal integration time 40 μs
- Response time \leq 1 turn (0.1 ms)







Check which runs before every fill: <u>Connectivity check</u> Detects non-conformities of cabling, verify HV, can detect issues in the tunnel electronics.

Internal beam permit check

Verify ability of every threshold comparator to send beam dump request.









Ionization chamber

(similar to the one used in SPS) Stainless steal cylinder Parallel electrodes distance 0.5 cm (Aluminium) Diameter 8.9 cm Voltage 1.5 kV Low pass filter at the HV input Length 60 cm N_2 gas filling at 1.1 bar Sensitive volume 1.5 l



Initial choice for high-rad areas: Secondary Emission Monitor (SEM)





LHC Detector choice (II)





Well known and reliable component (SPS ionization chambers are in use since 30 years)





The LHC Beam Loss Monitoring system -PH Detector Seminar, 2011.06.10

Frequency distribution



SPS BLMs

current [pA]

Total received dose: ring 0.1 to 1 kGy/year extr 0.1 to 10 MGy/year

30 years of operation

Measurements done with installed electronic

- 140 Relative accuracy
 - $-\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ < 0.01 (for ring BLMs)

$$- \Delta\sigma/\sigma < 0.05$$
 (for Extr., inj. BLMs)

Gain variation only observed in high radiation areas

Consequences for LHC:

- No gain variation expected in the straight section and ARC of LHC
 - Variation of gain in collimation possible for ionisation chambers

Reliable component





New detectors:

- Little ionization chamber (with lower gas pressure) lower sensitivity
- Fast diamond detectors (like beam condition monitors of CMS/ATLAS)
 - they allow bunch-by-bunch measurement of beam loss.









Analog front-end FEE

Current to Frequency Converters (CFCs) Analogue to Digital Converters (ADCs) Tunnel FPGAs: Actel's 54SX/A radiation tolerant. Communication links: Gigabit Optical Links.

Real-Time Processing BEE

FPGA Altera's Stratix EP1S40 Mezzanine card for the optical links 3 x 2 MB SRAMs for temporary data storage NV-RAM for system settings and threshold table storage







Signal is integrated in 40 μ s time window (25 kHz samplig).

The 40 μ s time windows are assembled into 12 running sums: 40 μ s, 80 μ s, 320 μ s, 640 μ s, 2.56 ms, 10.24 ms, 81.92 ms, 0.655 s, 1.31 s, 5.24 s. 20.97 s, 83.89 s.

The 12 running sums are compared with 12 thresholds in FPGA.

We cannot save all data.

Data are logged in LHC Logging DB with initial frequency of 1 Hz, further reduced after 1 week for permanent storage. (Logging DB is Oracle, solutions more adequate for large-scale data mining are being investigated).

In addition there are special buffers (PostMortem, Study) which store a given number of $40 \ \mu s$ time windows and which can be recovered under special conditions (eg. beam dump).









Typical loss locations (I)



Experiment















2. quadrupole magnets – more probable losses



Due to optics functions, for norminal orbit, particles are first lost in places with a large β -function and/or dispersion: quadrupoles and dispersion suppressor.

3. In addition: in the injection region, around the experiments (luminosity losses).



Examples of losses (I)



Quench of 4 dipole magnets at injection due to wrong current in MQ magnets. Injected one bunch of 8E9 protons.







Loss at overinjection









Examples of losses (III): UFOs



UFOs are sudden losses lasting about 0.5-2 ms.

Sometimes they dump the beam (exceeding BLM thresholds).

Post Mortem data of the first UFO which dumped the LHC beam











The beam should be stopped when:

- Loss level is close to quench level of superconducting magnet
- Loss level is close to damage of accelerator element
- Loss level is abnormally high, showing some problems with

settings (for instance collimation hierarchy breaking).



LHC, since injection tests in 2008: 11 quench events, only two at 3.5 TeV (intentional - both tests)

It came as a surprise that LHC is not quenching – because of a very good orbit stability (and other reasons).

HERA, total: 189 quenches in 10 years





Typical threshold on cold magnet based on LHC Note 44:

$T = Q_{BLM} (E) \Delta H(E,t)/E_{dep}(E,t)$



Based on Monte-Carlo, heat transfer codes and quench tests.





One of the most spectacular quench tests: generate millisecond scale losses using with Wire Scanner at 3.5 TeV. Motivation: explore quench limit for losses similar to UFOs. Quench occurred after about 10 ms







Warm magnets – conditions to compute thresholds:

- short loss: should not be damaged
- long loss: should not be overheated (about 100 C)

Collimators:

thresholds typically far from damage level, determined by assumed beam lifetime and hierarchy.









Example: debris from ATLAS and slow beam losses in the triplet:

In order to protect Q2 magnet the threshold for slow losses should be set very close to constant debris signal. Spurious beam dumps would be unavoidable.

Similar problem of radiation masking signal from dangerous beam loss is observed in other locations on LHC.









The LHC Beam Loss Monitoring system -**PH** Detec

Signal in the detector [mGy s⁻¹]

Idea: put BLM detectors closer to magnet coil.







Beam Loss Monitoring system is crucial for safety of LHC machine.

It plays a crucial role in beam diagnostics.

It is a complex but very reliable system (basically no spurious beam dumps have been observed up to now).

There are interesting developments ongoing.

Thank you for your attention!



Additional slides

